IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 8982 of 1995

Between:

NAWAB KHAJA MOINUDDIN KHAN S/O NAWAB AHMADUDDIN KHAN
16-2-625 MALAKPET HYDERABAD-36

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 DISTRICT COLLECTOR R.R.DISTRICT
KHAIRTABAD HYDERABAD
2 MANDAL REVENUE OFFICER RAJENDRANAGAR MANDAL
R.R.DIST
3 DANAIAH, ROYAL CITY REAL ESTATE DEALER
SABJIMANDI HYDERABAD
DISMISSED FOR DEFAULT WIDE COURT ORDER DT.21.6.01
4 Y.MALLREDDY
UPPAR PALLY R.R.DIST
DISMISSED FOR DEFAULT WIDE COURT ORDER DT.21.6.01
5 GUNDALA PENTAIAH
UPPAR PALLY R.R.DIST
DISMISSED FOR DEFAULT WIDE COURT ORDER DT.21.6.01
6 Y.PENTA REDDY
UPPAR PALLY R.R.DIST
DISMISSED FOR DEFAULT WIDE COURT ORDER DT.21.6.01
7 Y.PRABHAVATHI REDDY
UPPAR PALLY R.R.DIST
DISMISSED FOR DEFAULT WIDE COURT ORDER DT.21.6.01

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction particularly a writ in the nature of mandamus declaring in action of the respondent 1&2 in not considering the application given by the petitioner for correction of the entries in respect of the properties bearing S.no.78 more familiarly known as Soog Bowli maktha of

upparpally village in Rajendranagar mandal, R.R. District as illegal ,arbitrary and violative of arts .14,21 and 300-a of the constitution of India with a consequential direction, directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner for rectification of entries.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.RAJENDER DESHMUKH

Counsel for the Respondents 1 and 2 No.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order :

ORAL ORDER:

As there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 4-8-2004, the matter was directed to be listed today for dismissal. Today also none appeared for the petitioner. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

5-8-2004

asp

To

1 DISTRICT COLLECTOR R.R.DISTRICT
KHAIRTABAD HYDERABAD
2 MANDAL REVENUE OFFICER RAJENDRANAGAR MANDAL
R.R.DIST
3. Two C.Cs. to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings (OUT)

4. Two C.D. copies